

# Lecture 3

- <u>Example of transfer</u> <u>functions</u>
- Laser intensity noise
  - Photo detection

Beamsplitter in the Photon picture :



## Beamsplitter in the Photon picture



### Beam splitter: Quantum calculation I

Vacuum state



$$\begin{array}{ll} \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{r}} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon} \, \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{in}} &+ \sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon)} \, \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{u}} \\ \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{t}} &= \sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon)} \, \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{in}} &- \sqrt{\varepsilon} & \delta \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{u}} \end{array}$$

Input and output operators have to obey the commutation relationship for Bosons  $[\delta A_i \ \delta A_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij}, [\delta A_i \ \delta A_j] = 0$ 

We find that for the fluctuations we cannot ignore the mode  $\delta A_{\mu}$ .

If we ignored it the commutators for  $\delta A_r$  and  $\delta A_t^{\dagger}$  would no longer work. For example, we want  $[\delta A_r \delta A_t^{\dagger}] = 0$ . If we set  $\delta A_r = \sqrt{\epsilon} \delta A_{in}$  and  $\delta A_t = \sqrt{1-\epsilon} \delta A_{in}$ , we would get  $[\delta A_r \delta A_t^{\dagger}] = \sqrt{(\epsilon (1-\epsilon))} [\delta A_{in} \delta A_{in}^{\dagger}] = \sqrt{(\epsilon (1-\epsilon))}$  which is not correct.

We have to include  $\delta A_{u}$ , the vacuum state makes a contribution to the noise.

#### Beam splitter: Quantum calculation II

<u>**Recipe:**</u> determine  $V1(\Omega) = \langle \delta X1(\Omega) | \delta X1^{*}(\Omega) \rangle$ 

 $\delta X1_{r}(\Omega) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \left( \delta A_{in}^{\dagger}(\Omega) + \delta A_{in}(\Omega) \right) + \sqrt{1 - \epsilon} \left( \delta A_{u}^{\dagger}(\Omega) + \delta A_{u}(\Omega) \right)$ 

where the terms in the { } bracket all cancel.

**Result:**  $V1_r = \varepsilon V1_{in} + (1 - \varepsilon) V1_u$  and  $V1_t = (1 - \varepsilon) V1_{in} + \varepsilon V1_u$ 

since  $V1_u = 1$  we get  $(V1_r - 1) = \epsilon (V1_{in} - 1)$ .

that means the difference between  $V1_{in}$  and the quantum noise limit gets reduced. V1<sub>r</sub> is closer to the QNL than V1<sub>in</sub>. We say: A vacuum beam gets mixed in.

We can interpret the effect of a beam splitter as adding a part (1-  $\varepsilon$ ) of the vacuum beam.

Effect on signal to noise ratio

The signal to noise ratio is given by

$$SNR = (V1_{signal}(\Omega) - V1_{quantum noise}(\Omega)) / V1_{quantum noise}(\Omega)$$

and for V1<sub>quantum noise</sub>( $\Omega$ ) = 1 and for signals sufficiently large we simply have:

 $SNR_{out} = \epsilon SNR_{in}$ for a quantum noise limited

system with large signal

This applies to all situations where we have attenuation, such as absorption losses, diffraction, quantum inefficiencies, mode matching errors, .....

This makes the experiments so challenging.

### Interferometer: Classical calculation



$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\rm I} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_{\rm in} \\ \alpha_{\rm II} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_{\rm in} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_1 \, \exp(i \, \Delta \phi) - \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_{\rm II} \\ \alpha_1 &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_1 \, \exp(i \, \Delta \phi) + \sqrt{1/2} \, \alpha_{\rm II} \end{aligned}$$

Visibility Vis = (I<sub>max</sub> -I<sub>min</sub>) / (I<sub>max</sub>+I<sub>min</sub>)

#### Interferometer: Quantum calculation



$$\begin{split} \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{I}} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{in}} - \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{u}} \\ \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{II}} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{in}} + \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{u}} \\ \delta \mathbf{A}_{1} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{I}} - \exp\left(i \, \Delta \phi\right) \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{II}} \\ \delta \mathbf{A}_{2} &= \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{I}} + \exp\left(i \, \Delta \phi\right) \sqrt{1/2} \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{II}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathbf{A}_{1} &= \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad \delta \mathbf{A}_{in} - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \exp(\mathbf{i} \Delta \phi) \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{in} \\ &+ \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad \delta \mathbf{A}_{u} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \exp(\mathbf{i} \Delta \phi) \, \delta \mathbf{A}_{u} \end{split}$$

determine  $V1_1(\Omega) = \langle \delta X1_1(\Omega) \delta X1_1^*(\Omega) \rangle$ 

For 
$$\epsilon = 1/2$$
  
 $V1_1(\Omega) = \cos^2(\Delta \phi/2) V1_{in}(\Omega) + \sin^2(\Delta \phi/2) V2_u(\Omega)$   
This is like a variable attenuator .

## Cavity: Quantum calculation



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{V1}_{\mathsf{out}}(\Omega) = (\ 4\kappa_1\kappa_2\ \mathsf{V1}_{\mathsf{in}}(\Omega) + 4\kappa_1\kappa_{\mathsf{loss}}\ \mathsf{V1}_{\mathsf{loss}} + [(2\kappa_1 - \kappa)^2 + (2\pi\Omega\ )^2]\ \mathsf{V1}_{\mathsf{u}})\ /\ (\kappa^2 + (2\pi\Omega\ )^2) \\ \text{Transfer function is frequency dependent. Cavity needs to be locked to the laser.} \\ \text{Different behaviour inside and outside the cavity linewidth.} \end{array}$ 

## Transfer of signals



The cavity transmits the low frequencies but reflects the high frequencies.

This applies to signals (V1 > 1) and to squeezing (V1 < 1).

If all inputs are at the QNL all the outputs are at the QNL. This is a good test.

# **Quantitative effect of loss**



# The model for a laser: the energy level and processes and all the possible noise sources

# The laser noise equations

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{V1}_{\mathsf{las}}\left(\Omega\right) \,=\, 1/\left[\,\left((2\pi\,\Omega_{\mathsf{RRO}})^2 \cdot (2\pi\,\Omega)^2\,\right)^2 + (2\pi\,\Omega)^2\,\gamma_{\mathsf{L}}^2 \right. \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 + 4\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}^{-2}\,(2\pi\,\Omega)^2 + \gamma_{\mathsf{L}}^2\,\right) - 8\,\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}\,\mathsf{G}_{32}\,\,\alpha^2\,\gamma_{\mathsf{L}} & \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{vac}} \\ & + \,2\,\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}\,\mathsf{G}_{32}^{-2}\,\alpha^2\,\Gamma & \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{pump}} \\ & + \,(2\,\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}\,\mathsf{G}_{32}^{-2}\,\alpha^2\,\gamma_{\mathsf{t}}\,\mathsf{J}_3 & \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{spont}} \\ & + \,2\,\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}\,\mathsf{G}_{32}(\,\gamma_{\mathsf{t}} + \Gamma\,)^2 + (2\pi\,\Omega)^2 & \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{dipole}} \\ & + \,4\kappa_{\mathsf{m}}\,\kappa_{\mathsf{I}}\,(2\pi\,\Omega)^2 + \gamma_{\mathsf{L}}^2\,) & \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{losses}}\, \end{array} \right\}$$

with  $\kappa_m$  the resonator mirror,  $G_{32}$  rate constant,  $\gamma_L$  = combined rate,  $\Gamma$ = pump rate etc.... these are all parameters which can be determined independently for each laser.

The main point: the noise spectrum is a linear combination of the various input losses.

This model predicts a complex noise spectrum.



Laser noise spectrum from the quantum transfer functions previous page.The spectrum peaks around  $\Omega_{RRO}$ , the resonance frequency for the relaxation oscillation.The pump noise (ii) dominates at very low frequencies. Total noise, for a QNLpump is given by line (vi). At high frequencies the laser is QNL. This is the11/29/04best a conventional laser can achieve.13

# Noise of a Nd:YAG laser





Above RRO laser can be quantum noise limited

Experimental test of laser noise:

(I) experiment, large pump noise(iii) experiment, low pump noise

(ii) theory large pump noise(iv) theory , low pump noise

# **Summary**

We have a technique for predicting the noise spectrum for our experiment.

This can include passive components (mirrors, lenses,...) as well as active components (lasers, amplifiers,.....)

Once resonant systems ( cavities ) are involved the quadratures X1 and X2 will mix, that is we are getting a rotation is phase space.

Many lasers can be QNL, at least a high detection frequencies.

The coherent state is a perfect description of the idealised laser.

Real lasers can reach the pure coherent state for some detection frequencies.

#### Direct detection



$$V1_{las}(\Omega) = P_{i}(\Omega) / P_{i, QNL}(\Omega)$$

We need experimental calibration techniques to verify  $P_{i, QNL}(\Omega)$ 

# Quantum Noise: Real spectrum of laser



### **Detection efficiency**



The quantum efficiency varies with the material of the detector. The main materials are Si for visible light and InGaAs for light between 1000-2000 nm. The only fast photon counting detectors are so far made from Si. For fast CW detection we can use both Si and InGaAs. Practical efficiencies are 85% to 90% at 550-750 nm and at 1060 nm.

# Noise contributions:



The noise contributions at various stages of the detection and amplification process. The amplifier generates gain noise, and the electronics adds thermal (or Johnson ) noise. This illustrates the decline in the ratio of signal ( downwards) to noise (upwards).

### A real photo detector circuit



An example of an actual photo detector. For more details see M.B. Gray, D.A.Shaddock, C.C.Harb, H-A.Bachor, "Photodetector designs for 11/29 experiments in quantum optics", Rev. of Sci. Instruments 69, 3755 -3762 (1998) 20

# The effect of a spectrum analyser



## The effect of a spectrum analyser



The spectrum analyser displays the variance of the fluctuations and a signal.

The actual noise level depends on the Resolution Bandwidth (*RBW*). We cannot make it too large because we are averaging over many spectral components of the light. The display can be made smoother by using a lower Video Bandwidth (*VBW*). However, this averages in time and the experiment has to be very stable. 11/29/04

### Calibration: Balanced detector



### Balanced homodyne detector

