Atomic clocks with cold atoms





Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Pierre Lemonde <u>Bureau National de Métrologie – SYRTE (UMR CNRS 8630)</u> <u>Observatoire de Paris, France</u>

Quantum engineering with photons, atoms and molecules Les Houches, February 2005

Atomic Fountains

10 fountains in operation (SYRTE, PTB, NIST, USNO, PENN state, ON, IEN, NPL) with an accuracy of ~10⁻¹⁵. Several projects (NIJM, NIM, KRIISS, VNIIFTRI...)

See e.g. Proc. 6th Symp. Freq. Standards and Metrology (World Scientific 2002)



PTB (D)

NIST (USA)

Atomic fountains: Principle of operation



vacuum chamber and cavity



The 2 outermost shields are removed

Interrogation region with magnetic and thermal shields

Compensation coils

Collimator for molasses beams

Optical molasses



optical bench

Laser diode based system, can be made compact and reliable





We alternate measurements on bothe sides of the central fringe to generate an error signal, while is used to servo-control the microwave source

Fluctuations of the transition probability: $\sigma_{\delta P} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-4}$

Frequency stability with a cryogenic Oscillator

With a cryogenic sapphire oscillator, low noise microwave synthesis (~ 3×10⁻¹⁵ @ 1s)

FO2 frequency stability

$$\sigma_y(\tau) = 1.6 \times 10^{-14} \tau^{-1/2}$$



This stability is close to the quantum limit. A resolution of **10**⁻¹⁶ is obtained after 6 hours of integration. With Cs the frequency shift is then close to 10⁻¹³!

Fountain Accuracy

Fountain (BNM-SYRTE)	FO2(Cs)	FO2(Rb)	FO1
Effect	Shift and uncertainty (10 ⁻¹⁶)		
second order Zeeman	1927.3(.3)	3207.0(4.7)	1199.7(4.5)
Blackbody radiation	-168.2(2.5)	-127.0(2.1)	-162.8(2.5)
Collisions + cavity pulling	-357.5(2)	0.0(1.0)	-197.9(2.4)
Residual Doppler effect	0.0(3.0)	0.0(3.0)	0.0(3.0)
Recoil	0.0(1.4)	0.0(1.4)	0.0(1.4)
Neighbouring transitions.	0.0(1.0)	0.0(1.0)	0.0(1.0)
Microwave leaks, spectral purity, synchronous perturbations.	-4.3(3.3)	0.0(2.0)	-3.3(3.3)
Collisions with residual gaz.	0.0(1.0)	0.0(1.0)	0.0(1.0)
Total	6.5	7	7.5

Frequency difference between FO1 and FO2: 3 10⁻¹⁶

Collisional shift measurement



By performing the internal state selection with adiabatic passage, we vary the atomic density by a factor of 2 without changing velocity and position distribution

Cold collisions then only depend on the atomic number

To measure the cold collision shift in quasi real time

➢ We alternate measurements with full or interrupted adiabatic passage (produces n or n/2)

F. Pereira Dos Santos *et al.*, PRL 89,233004 (2002)

δ

The "adiabatic passage method": Experiments

The value and the stability of the ratio between 50% and 100% configurations is monitored during each measurement. We find:



BNM-SYRTE fountain ensemble



Frequency comparisons between fountains



Below 10⁻¹⁶: Two possible ways

atomic resonance



$$\sigma_y(\tau) \sim \frac{1}{\omega_0} \sigma_\omega(T_c) \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{\tau}} \sim \frac{\Delta}{\omega_0} \sigma_{P_e}(T_c) \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{\tau}}$$

- ω_0 -as high as possible
 - -low natural width
- Δ -Fourier limit, long interaction time -low oscillator spectral width

-Large atom number $\sigma_{P_e}(T_c)$ -low noise detection scheme -low noise oscillator



+ transition should be insensitive to external perturbations

Atomic transition in the optical doma

A clock in space

Optical frequency standards ?

Frequency stability :

Increase ω_0 (x 10⁵)

$$\sigma_y(\tau) = \frac{\Delta}{\pi \omega_0 \sqrt{N_{\text{det}}}} \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{\tau}}$$

Optical fountain at the quantum limit $\sigma_y(\tau) \sim 10^{-18} \tau^{-1/2}$!!!!!!!!!

Frequency accuracy: most of the shifts (expressed in absolute values) don't depend on the frequency of the transition (Collisions, Zeeman...).

-Ability to compare frequencies (now solved with femto)

Three major difficulties -Recoil and first order Doppler effect (trapped ion clocks)

-Interrogation oscillator noise conversion (Dick effect).

The best optical clocks so far exhibit frequency stabilities in the 10⁻¹⁵ τ ^{-1/2} range together with an accuracy around 10⁻¹⁴.

Optical lattice clock





Katori, Proc. 6th Symp. Freq. Standards and Metrology (2002) Pal'chikov, Domnin and Novoselov J. Opt. B. 5 (2003) S131 Katori et al. PRL 91, 173005 (2003)

Other possible atoms: Hg, Yb, Ca, Mg What is the required depth of the lattice (hyperpolarisability, available power...)

Periodic Potential

$$U_0 \int \underbrace{\lambda_l/2}_{Hext} = \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2m_a} + \frac{U_0}{2}(1 - \cos(2k_l x))$$

Eigenstates organize in bands and write $|n, q\rangle$, with *n* the band number and *q* the quasi-momentum $|n, q + 2k_l\rangle = |n, q\rangle$ one restricts to the first Brillouin zone $]-k_l, k_l$

 $\langle z + \lambda_l/2 | n, q \rangle = e^{iq\lambda_l/2} \langle z | n, q \rangle$ Eigenstates are delocalized over the whole lattice



U₀=0 Eigenstates are plane waves
$$|n,q\rangle = |\kappa_{n,q}\rangle$$

 $\kappa_{n,q} = q + 2nk_l$

Periodic Potential

$$U_0 \int \underbrace{\lambda_l/2}_{Hext} = \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2m_a} + \frac{U_0}{2}(1 - \cos(2k_l x))$$

Eigenstates organize in bands and write $|n, q\rangle$, with *n* the band number and *q* the quasi-momentum $|n, q + 2k_l\rangle = |n, q\rangle$ one restricts to the first Brillouin zone $]-k_l, k_l$

 $\langle z + \lambda_l/2 | n, q \rangle = e^{iq\lambda_l/2} \langle z | n, q \rangle$ Eigenstates are delocalized over the whole lattice



 $\begin{matrix} \textbf{U}_{0} \neq \textbf{0} \text{ plane waves separated by } 2k_{l} \text{ are coupled by } H_{ext} \\ |n,q\rangle = \sum_{j} c_{j}^{n,q} | \kappa_{j,q} \rangle \quad \kappa_{n,q} = q + 2nk_{l} \end{matrix}$

Energy scale (Sr) $E_r = \frac{\hbar^2 k_l^2}{2m_a} \sim 3.5 \, \mathrm{kHz}$

Spectroscopy in a lattice



Spectroscopy in a lattice

Transitions are shifted by ~ width of the band: Residual Doppler/recoil) 10¹ 3x10⁴ 10⁻¹ 3x10² Band Width [Hz] Band Width [E_r] n=3 n=2 10⁻³ $3x10^{\circ}$ n=1 n=0 10⁻⁵ 3x10⁻² 10⁻⁷ 3x10⁻⁴ 20 40 60 140 80 100 120 0 U⁰[E¹]

Atoms have to be prepared in the ground band U_0 has to be high : 50-100 E_r High order effects, Hg, can we do better ?

A deep lattice is needed...

Problem



Though...

Solution

Effect of gravity





Wannier-Stark states

$$H_{ext} = \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2m_a} + \frac{U_0}{2} (1 - \cos(2k_l x)) + m_a g x$$

 $m_a gx$ couples $|q\rangle$ states with each other (Bloch oscillations)

Long-lived metastable states separated by $mg\frac{\lambda_l}{2}$ $|W_n\rangle = \int_{-k_l}^{k_l} dq \, b_n(q) |q\rangle$



Spectroscopy of W-S states



symmetric sidebands: possibility to use a much shallower traps (~10 E_r)